arXiv:1502.06196v5 [math.AG] 19 Nov 2016

PURE DERIVED CATEGORIES OF QUASI-COHERENT SHEAVES

ESMAEIL HOSSEINI

Abstract. Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated (not necessarily semi-separated) scheme. The category $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ of all quasi-coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules has several different pure derived categories. Recently, categorical pure derived categories of X have been studied in more details. In this work, we focus on the geometrical purity and find replacements for geometrical pure derived categories of X. In addition, we deduce some important recollements of triangulated categories.

1. Introduction

Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is an abelian category. The derived category of $\mathcal{C}$ was invented by Grothendieck and his student Verdier to simplify the theory of derived functors on $\mathcal{C}$ ([Ver96]). It was obtained from the category of complexes in $\mathcal{C}$ by formally inverting all quasi-isomorphisms. This shows that the definition of a derived category is closely related to the concept of localization of triangulated categories, i.e. it is defined as the localization of the homotopy category of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to the class of all quasi-isomorphisms. But, this method is not applicable for non-abelian categories. In fact, if $\mathbb{E}$ is an exact category (not necessarily abelian), the unbounded derived categor of $\mathbb{E}$ can not be defined in the same way.

At the beginning of the decade 1980-90, there was no clear impression of the unbounded derived category of $\mathbb{E}$ since its definition is difficult (see [BBD82], [TT90]). In 1990, Neeman removed this difficulty. He showed that it can be determined by the quotient of the homtopy category of $\mathbb{E}$ modulo its thick (or épaisse) subcategory consisting of all exact complexes ([Ne90]). His approach is independent of the choice of exact structure and is suitable for a category with various exact structures. This is the important feature of Neeman's work in [Ne90].

In affine case, when R is an associative ring with identity, the category of all left R-modules admits two exact structures, the abelian exact structure and the pure exact structure. The derived category of the first structure, denoted by $\mathbf{D}(R)$, is the ordinary derived category of R. The derived category of the second structure, denoted by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pac}}(R)$, is the pure derived category of R. This is a framework for doing pure homological algebra by pure derived categories and pure derived functors between them. It was first introduced and studied in [CH02] by formally inverting pure homological isomorphisms

In non-affine case, if X is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme, the category $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ of all quasi-coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules admits three different exact structures. One of them is defined by the abelian exact sequences and the others were defined by pure exact sequences.

Key words and phrases. Quasi-coherent sheaves, homotopy category, pure derived category.
2010 Mathematical subject classification: 14F05, 18E30, 16E40, 16E05.

By [TT90], $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ is a locally finitely presented Grothendieck category. It is a natural framework to define the categorical pure exact sequences (see [Cr94], [AR94]). Recall that, a short exact sequence $\mathcal{E}$ of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is called categorical pure if for any finitely presented quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})$ is exact. The pure derived category with respect to this exact structure, denoted by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cpur}}(X)$, is defined by [Ne90]. It is studied in more details by several authors ([K12], [Sto14], [Gi15], [ZH16]).

Another pure exact structure on $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ is defined by tensor pure exact sequences. Recall that, a short exact sequence $\mathcal{E}$ is called tensor pure if for any quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$ is exact. The pure derived category with respect to this exact structure is defined by [Ne90] and denoted by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pur}}(X)$. It was first appeared in [EGO14].

These pure derived categories motivated us to ask the following question.

Question: When $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pur}}(X) = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cpur}}(X)$?

To find an answer to this question, we should compare the pure exact structures on $\mathfrak{Qco}X$. In Section 3, if X is a projective space over a commutative noetherian ring R, we show that there is a finitely presented quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules which is not tensor pure projective. Consequently, **the tensor pure exact structure does not coincide with the categorical pure exact structure** and so, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pur}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cpur}}(X)$ are different. This encouraged us to concentrate our study on **tensor pure derived categories**.

In Section 4, we prove that the homotopy category of all pure injective quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pur}}(X)$ are equivalent and find the recollement (5.5). In Section 5, if X is coherent, we find an equivalence between the homotopy category of injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules and the pure derived category of absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. In addition, we get the recollement (6.1). In the last Section, if X is a semi-separated scheme, we show that the homotopy category of cotorsion flat quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules and the pure derived category of flats are equivalent. Also, we obtain the recollement (7.3).

Setup: In this work, **all pure exact sequences are tensor pure** and all **pure injective** (resp. **pure projective**, **flat**) objects are **tensor** pure injective (resp. pure projective, flat).

2. Preliminaries

Recall that, a scheme X is *quasi-separated* if the intersection of any two quasi-compact open subsets is quasi-compact. In this paper, X is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme, $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i = \mathrm{Spec}(R_i)\}_{i=0}^{n}$ is an affine open cover of X, $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules are quasi-coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules and R is an associative ring with identity, unless otherwise specified. The category of all $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is denoted by $\mathfrak{Qco}X$. The set of all affine open subsets of X is denoted by $\mathcal{U}$ and the cardinality of a given $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $|\mathcal{F}| = |\coprod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(U)|$. In addition, we assume that $\kappa \geq \max\{|\mathcal{O}_X|, |\mathcal{U}|, \aleph_0\}$ is an infinite cardinal.

2.1. Exact categories. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be an additive category. A sequence $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{Y} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{Z}$ in $\mathbb{E}$ is said to be a conflation if f is the kernel of g and g is the cokernel of f. A map such as f is called an inflation and g is called a deflation. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a class of conflations in $\mathbb{E}$. The pair $(\mathbb{E}, \mathcal{E})$ is an exact category if the following axioms are hold.

(i) $\mathcal{E}$ is closed under isomorphisms.
(ii) $\mathcal{E}$ contains all split exact sequences.
(iii) Deflations (Inflations) are closed under composition.
(iv) All pullbacks (pushouts) of deflations (inflations) are exist.
(v) Deflations (Inflations) are stable under pullbacks (pushouts).

An exact category $\mathbb{E}$ is called *efficient* if

(i) Every section in $\mathbb{E}$ has a cokernel or, equivalently, every retraction in $\mathbb{E}$ has a kernel ([Bu10, Lemma 7.1]).
(ii) Arbitrary transfinite compositions of inflations exist and are themselves inflations.
(iii) Every object of $\mathbb{E}$ is small relative to the class of all inflations.
(iv) $\mathbb{E}$ admits a generator.

For more detailed references, see [Bu10] or [Sto13, Section 3].

2.2. ORTHOGONALITY IN EXACT CATEGORIES. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be an exact category and $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{E}$. The set of all equivalence classes of conflations $\mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{X}$ in $\mathbb{E}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{E}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$. A class $\mathbb{Y}$ is said to be the right orthogonal of a class $\mathbb{X}$ when $\mathbb{X}^{\perp} = \mathbb{Y}$, where the right orthogonal is defined as follows

$$\mathbb{X}^{\perp} := \{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{E} \mid \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{E}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}) = 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{X}\}.$$

The left orthogonal is defined dually. A pair $(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ of classes in $\mathbb{E}$ is called a complete cotorsion theory if
(i) $\mathbb{X}^{\perp} = \mathbb{Y}$ and ${}^{\perp}\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{X}$.
(ii) Any object of $\mathbb{E}$ has a special $\mathbb{Y}$-preenvelope and a special $\mathbb{X}$-precover.

Recall that, an object $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{E}$ has a special $\mathbb{X}$-precover ($\mathbb{Y}$-preenvelope) if there exists a conflation $\mathbf{Y}' \to \mathbf{X}' \to \mathbf{E}$ ($\mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{Y}' \to \mathbf{X}'$), where $\mathbf{X}' \in \mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}' \in \mathbb{Y}$. Also, $(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ is cogenerated by a set, if there is a set $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ such that $\mathcal{T}^{\perp} = \mathbb{X}^{\perp} = \mathbb{Y}$.

2.3. PURITY AND FLATNESS IN $\mathfrak{Qco}X$. A submodule $\mathcal{F}$ of an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{G}$ is called pure if $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$ is a pure exact sequence. Note that, this definition of purity and the geometrical (or stalk-wise) purity are equivalent. An exact sequence $\mathcal{E}$ is geometrical pure if for any $x \in X$, the localization $\mathcal{E}_x$ is a pure exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_x$-modules. An $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{I}$ ($\mathcal{P}$) is called pure injective (pure projective) if it is injective (projective) with respect pure exact sequences.

An $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{F}$ is called flat if the functor $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}$- is exact on $\mathfrak{Qco}X$. Actually, $\mathcal{F}$ is flat if and only if any short exact sequence ending in $\mathcal{F}$ is pure. Equivalently, $\mathcal{F}$ is flat if and only if for any $x \in X$, $\mathcal{F}_x$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_x$-module.

An $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be cotorsion if for any flat $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}) = 0$. An $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{C}$ is called cotorsion flat if it is both cotorsion and flat.

2.4. CATEGORY OF COMPLEXES. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be an additive full subcategory of an additive category $\mathcal{A}$. A complex in $\mathbb{X}$ is a cochain

$$\cdots \to X^{n-1} \overset{\partial^{n-1}}{\to} X^n \overset{\partial^n}{\to} X^{n+1} \to \cdots$$

in $\mathbb{X}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\partial^n \partial^{n-1} = 0$. The category of all complexes in $\mathbb{X}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{X})$. In particular, the category of all complexes in $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ is denoted by $\mathbf{C}(X)$. If $\mathrm{Pinj}X$ (resp. $\mathrm{Inj}X$, $\mathrm{Flat}X$, $\mathrm{Cof}X$) is the category of all pure injective (resp.

injective, flat and cotorsion flat) $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules then $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$ (resp. $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Inj}X)$, $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Flat}X)$, $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Cof}X)$) is a full additive subcategory of $\mathbf{C}(X)$. A complex $\mathbf{X}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is called acyclic if all cohomology groups are trivial and it is pure acyclic if for any $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathbf{X}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\mathcal{G}$ is acyclic. The cardinality of a complex $\mathbf{X}$ is defined to be $|\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}X^n|$.

For a given complex $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathbb{X}$ and an integer $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{X}[n]$ denotes the complex $\mathbf{X}$ shifted n degrees to the left.

Let $f:\mathbf{X}\longrightarrow\mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism of complexes. We define the cone of f, denoted by $\mathrm{Cone}(f)$, to be the complex $\mathbf{X}[1]\oplus\mathbf{Y}$. It is known that a morphism $f:\mathbf{X}\longrightarrow\mathbf{Y}$ of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if $\mathrm{Cone}(f)$ is acyclic. For more details on complexes, see [EJ00] and [EJ11].

2.5. Homotopy categories. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category. The homotopy category of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$, is defined to have the same objects as in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{A})$ and morphisms are the homotopy classes of morphisms of complexes. For any additive full subcategory $\mathbb{X}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathbf{K}(\mathbb{X})$ is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$.

The homotopy category of all left R-modules is denoted by $\mathbf{K}(R)$. If $\mathbb{X}=\mathrm{Proj}R$ (resp. $\mathrm{Inj}R$, $\mathrm{Flat}R$ and $\mathrm{Cof}R$) is the subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all projective (resp. injective, flat, cotorsion flat) R-modules, then $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Proj}R)$ (resp. $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Inj}R)$, $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}R)$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cof}R)$) is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{K}(R)$.

The homotopy category of $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ is denoted by $\mathbf{K}(X)$. The homotopy category $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$ (resp. $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Inj}X)$, $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}X)$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cof}X)$) of all pure injective (resp. injective, flat and cotorsion flat) $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is defined as a full subcategory of $\mathbf{K}(X)$. For more details on homotopy categories, see [We03, §10].

2.6. Orthogonality in Triangulated categories. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{S}$ be a triangulated full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$. The right orthogonal of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}^{\perp}=\{X\in\mathcal{T}\mid \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(S,X)=0,\ \text{for all } S\in\mathcal{S}\}.$$

The left orthogonal of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ is defined dually. By [Ne01, Theorem 9.1.13], the inclusion $\mathcal{S}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}$ has a right adjoint if and only if for any object $\mathbf{T}$ in $\mathcal{T}$, there is a triangle $\mathbf{S}\longrightarrow\mathbf{T}\longrightarrow\mathbf{S}'\longrightarrow\Sigma\mathbf{S}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ where $\mathbf{S}\in\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbf{S}'\in\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. This triangle is unique up to isomorphism and the right adjoint of $\mathcal{S}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}$ maps $\mathbf{T}$ to $\mathbf{S}$. In the language of [K10, Proposition 4.9.1], this situation implies that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$ of triangulated categories.

2.7. Recollemets of triangulated categories. A recollement is a short exact sequence of triangulated categories. It was first introduced in [BBD82] to describe the derived categories of perverse sheaves over singular spaces, by using derived versions of Grothendieck's six functors ([Gr77]). A recollements is a useful tool for investigating relation between algebraic, geometric and topological properties (see [BBD82, Ra02]).

The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S} \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathcal{T} \arrow[l, bend right, "i_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left, "i^*"] \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathcal{L}, \arrow[l, bend right, "j_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left, "j^*"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1}$$

of triangulated categories and triangulated functors is called a recollement if the following conditions hold.

(i) (i, i^*) and (i_*, i) are adjoint pairs.
(ii) (j, j^*) and (j_*, j) are adjoint pairs.
(iii) i, j_* and j^* are full embeddings.
(iv) $ji = i_* j_* = i^* j^* = 0$.
(v) For each $\mathbf{T}$ in $\mathcal{T}$, there are triangles

$$ii^*\mathbf{T} \longrightarrow \mathbf{T} \longrightarrow j^*j\mathbf{T} \longrightarrow ii^*\Sigma\mathbf{T} \text{ and } j_*j\mathbf{T} \longrightarrow \mathbf{T} \longrightarrow ii_*\mathbf{T} \longrightarrow j_*j\Sigma\mathbf{T}$$

where the arrows to and from T are counit and unit respectively.

For more details, see [Ver96, Section II.2], [Ne01, 9.2], [K05, Section 3] and [K10, Section 4.13].

3. Purity and pure projectivity in $\mathfrak{Qco}X$

In this section, we compare the categorical and the geometrical notions of purity in $\mathfrak{Qco}X$. Note that, any of these notions induces a class of pure injectives (resp. pure projectives and flats). Categorical pure injectives are discussed in [Her03] and pure injective objects are discussed in the next section (see also [EEO15]). Categorical flat objects are studied in [Sten68], [ES15] and flat $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules are studied in [EE05]. But, the pure projective objects have a different fate. By [TT90], there is a set S of finitely presented objects in $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ such that any $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{G}$ is a direct limit of elements in S. Then, there exists a categorical pure exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \oplus_{\mathcal{F}\in S}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$ where $\oplus_{\mathcal{F}\in S}\mathcal{F}$ is a categorical pure projective $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Therefore, $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ have enough categorical pure projective objects. But, in general case, we don't know weather $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ have enough pure projective objects? However, weather this is the case or not, we give an example of a non-pure projective finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.

In this section, we assume that $X = \mathrm{Proj}R[x_0, x_1, ..., x_n]$ is the projective n-space over a noetherian commutative ring R. The following lemma has a significance role in this section.

Lemma 3.1. *The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ is not projective.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_X$ is projective. Then, for any $\mathcal{O}_X$ module $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{G}) \cong \mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ ([Ha97, III, Proposition 6.3]). But this is a contradiction with [Ha97, III, Theorem 3.7] and [Ha97, III, Theorem 5.1]. ☐

Recall that, an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{F}$ is called categorical flat if any short exact sequence ending in $\mathcal{F}$ is categorical pure. In the following result, we give an example of a flat $\mathcal{O}_X$-module which is not categorical flat.

Proposition 3.2. *The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ is not a categorical flat $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.*

Proof. Since X is a noetherian scheme. Then $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a finitely presented object and hence, it is categorical pure projective. If $\mathcal{O}_X$ is categorical flat, then any short exact sequence ending in $\mathcal{O}_X$ is split. So, it is projective. But this is a contradiction with Lemma 3.1. ☐

Proposition 3.3. *There is no non-zero projective $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a projective $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Then, any short exact sequence ending in $\mathcal{P}$ splits. So, it is categorical flat. Therefore, by [ES15, Corollary 4.6], $\mathcal{P}$ is zero. ☐

Proposition 3.4. *Any pure projective flat $\mathcal{O}_X$-module is projective.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pure projective flat $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Then, any short exact sequence ending in $\mathcal{P}$ is split. So, it is projective. ☐

Proposition 3.5. *The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ is not a pure projective $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.*

Proof. A direct consequence of Proposition 3.4. ☐

We know that any categorical pure exact sequence is pure. So, any pure projective $\mathcal{O}_X$-module is categorical pure but by Proposition 3.5 the converse need not be true. By Proposition 3.3, the class of all projective $\mathcal{O}_X$-module is trivial and by [ES15, Corollary 4.6], the class of categorical flat objects is also trivial. But, we don't know weather the same result holds for the class $\mathrm{Pproj}X$ of all pure projective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. So, we can naturally ask the following question.

Question: When $\mathrm{Pproj}X$=0?

Regardless of any responses, we show that the homotopy category of pure injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is a natural replacement for the homotopy category of pure projectives (see Section 5).

4. PURE ACYCLIC COMPLEXES OF $\mathcal{O}_X$-MODULES

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the class of all pure exact sequences in $\mathfrak{Qco}X$. A sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{E} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{F} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow 0$$

of complexes of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is called a conflation if it is degree-wise pure exact, i.e. for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}^n \xrightarrow{f^n} \mathbf{F}^n \xrightarrow{g^n} \mathbf{G}^n \longrightarrow 0$$

is pure exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. This induces an exact structure on $\mathbf{C}(X)$ which is called pure exact structure. Let $\mathbf{E}$ be the class of all conflations in $\mathbf{C}(X)$. In the language of [Sto13, Section 3], $(\mathbf{C}(X), \mathbf{E})$ is an efficient exact category. In the present section, we select this **exact** structure and prove that the pair $(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X), \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp})$ is a complete cotorsion theory whenever $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{C}(X)$ consisting of all pure acyclic complexes.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. There is a conflation*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{Y} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{K} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.1}$$

in $\mathbf{C}(X)$ where $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$.

Proof. The proof consists of two parts. In the first part, we prove that if

$$\mathbf{G} : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}' \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{G}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

is an object of $\mathcal{E}$ then there is a non-zero pure submodules $\mathcal{G}'_0, \mathcal{G}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}''_0$ of $\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}''$ respectively, such that $\max\{|\mathcal{G}'_0|, |\mathcal{G}_0|, |\mathcal{G}''_0|\} \leq \kappa$ and $\mathbf{G}_0 : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'_0 \xrightarrow{f|_{\mathcal{G}'_0}} \mathcal{G}_0 \xrightarrow{g|_{\mathcal{G}_0}} \mathcal{G}''_0 \longrightarrow 0$, $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}_0$ are objects of $\mathcal{E}$.

For any $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let X_U be a non-empty subset of $\mathcal{G}(U)$. By [EE05, Proposition 3.3] (see also [Fo76, Theorem 3]), there exists a pure submodule $\mathcal{G}''_0$ of $\mathcal{G}''$ such that for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $g(X_U) \subseteq \mathcal{G}''_0(U)$ and $|\mathcal{G}''_0| \leq \kappa$. Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathcal{T} \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "e"] & \mathcal{G}''_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}' \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "g"] & \mathcal{G}'' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

with pure exact rows and columns. Then, for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $X_U \subseteq \mathcal{T}(U)$. Now, assume that, S_U is a subset of $\mathcal{T}(U)$ such that $X_U \subseteq S_U$, $g'(S_U) = \mathcal{G}''_0(U)$ and $|S_U| \leq \kappa$. Then, by [EE05, Proposition 3.3], there is a pure submodule $\mathcal{K}_0$ of $\mathcal{T}$ where $S_U \subseteq \mathcal{K}_0(U)$, $|\mathcal{K}_0| \leq \kappa$. Set $\mathcal{K}'_0 := \mathrm{Ker}(g'|_{\mathcal{K}_0})$ and consider a pure submodule $\mathcal{K}'_1$ of $\mathcal{G}'$ such that $\mathcal{K}'_0 \subseteq \mathcal{K}'_1$, $|\mathcal{K}'_1| \leq \kappa$. Then, there is a pure submodule $\mathcal{K}_1$ of $\mathcal{T}$ such that $\mathcal{K}_0 \cup \mathcal{K}'_1 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_1$, $|\mathcal{K}_1| \leq \kappa$. Again, set $\mathcal{K}'_2 := \mathrm{Ker} g'|_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ and repeat this processes inductively to get a pure submodule $\mathcal{K}'_{2n+1}$ of $\mathcal{G}'$ such that $\mathcal{K}'_{2n} = \mathrm{Ker} g'|_{\mathcal{K}_{2n-1}} \subseteq \mathcal{K}'_{2n+1}$, $|\mathcal{K}'_{2n+1}| \leq \kappa$. Now, set $\mathcal{G}'_0 = \varinjlim_{i\in I} \mathcal{K}'_n = \varinjlim_{i\in I} \mathcal{K}'_{2n+1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_0 = \varinjlim_{i\in I} \mathcal{K}_n$.

In the second part, if $\mathbf{G}$ is a pure acyclic complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules then, we prove that there is a non-zero subcomplex $\mathbf{G}_0 = (\mathcal{G}^i_0, \delta^i_0)$ of $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}_0 \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$, $|\mathbf{G}_0| \leq \kappa$.

For any $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let X^i_U be a non-empty subset of $\mathcal{G}^i(U)$. Without loss of generality we can consider the following commutative diagram with pure exact rows and columns

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{K}^0_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{G}^0_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{K}^1_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ker}(\delta^0) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}^0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ker}(\delta^1) \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $X^0_U \subseteq \mathcal{G}^0_0(U)$ and $\max\{|\mathcal{G}^0_0|, |\mathcal{K}^0_0|, |\mathcal{K}^1_0|\} \leq \kappa$.
For any $i \leq 0$, we use an inductive processes and obtain the following pure exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^{i-1}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{i-1}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^i_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\max\{|\mathcal{G}^{i-1}_0|, |\mathcal{K}^{i-1}_0|, |\mathcal{K}^i_0| : i \leq 0\} \leq \kappa$. Now, set $\mathcal{G}^i_0 := \mathcal{K}^i_0$ and $\delta^i_0 := \delta^i|_{\mathcal{G}^i_0}$ (for any $i > 0$, $\mathcal{G}^i_0 := 0$). Then $\mathbf{G}_0 = (\mathcal{G}^i_0, \delta^i_0)$ has the desired property.

Let Y be a representative set of complexes $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$ such that $|\mathbf{G}| \leq \kappa$. Then, $(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X), \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp})$ is cogenerated by Y. So, by [Sto13, Proposition 5.8], there is a conflation $0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{Y} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{K} \longrightarrow 0$ such that $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *The pair* $(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X), \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp})$ *is a complete cotorsion theory.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. By [HS13, Proposition 2.6] (the proof is true for any quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme), there is a degree-wise pure exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P} \longrightarrow 0$ of complexes where $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat} X)$. For any $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{G}$ we have the following exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{f \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} 1_{\mathcal{G}}} \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The acyclicity of the mapping cone of $(f \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} 1_{\mathcal{G}})$ ($\mathbf{P}$ is flat) implies that $\mathrm{Cone}(f)$ is a pure acyclic complex. Therefore, by the following degree-wise split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[-1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cone}(f) \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow 0\ .$$

and Proposition 4.1 we are done. □

It becomes an exact category if we endow it with the componentwise conflations.Recently, the pair $(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(R), \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(R)^{\perp})$ has been studied in the category of left R-modules endowed with the pure exact structure. In [Sto14], the author proved that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(R)^{\perp} = \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Pinj}R)$. Indeed, he proved the following result in the category of R-modules.

Proposition 4.3. *Any pure acyclic complex of R-modules is the direct limit of contractible complexes.*

Proof. See [Sto14, Lemma 4.14] or [Em16, Proposition 2.2]. □

In general case, we don't know weather the same result holds non-affine settings. But, we try to prove that any pure acyclic complex of pure injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is contractible. First, we show that the class of all pure injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is preenveloping.

Lemma 4.4. *Let U be an open subset of X and $f : U \longrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Then $f_* : \mathfrak{Qco}U \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Qco}X$ preserves pure injective objects.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pure injective $\mathcal{O}_U$-module and

$$\mathcal{E} : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.2}$$

be a pure exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. We know that f_* has an exact left adjoint f^* [Ha97, Section II, pp 110] which preserves purity, i.e., $f^*\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}|_U$ is a pure exact sequence. We apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\text{-}, f_*\mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\text{-}, \mathcal{P})$ on $f^*\mathcal{E}$. The adjoint pair (f_*, f^*) implies the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, f_*\mathcal{P}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{G}, f_*\mathcal{P}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{K}, f_*\mathcal{P}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(f^*\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(f^*\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(f^*\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{P}) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the bottom row is exact and the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Then, the top row is also exact and hence, $f_*\mathcal{P}$ is pure injective. □

Lemma 4.5. *Any direct limit of pure exact sequences is pure.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{E}_i, f_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ be a direct system of pure exact sequences of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. The isomorphism $(\varinjlim_{i\in I}\mathcal{E}_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \cong \varinjlim_{i\in I}(\mathcal{E}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G})$ implies the purity of $\varinjlim_{i\in I}\mathcal{E}_i$. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let U be an affine open subset of X and $f : U \longrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Then $f_* : \mathfrak{Qco}U \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Qco}X$ preserves pure exact sequences.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a pure exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_U$-modules. By Proposition 4.3, $\mathcal{E} = \varinjlim_{i\in I}\mathcal{E}_i$ where for any i, $\mathcal{E}_i$ is split exact. We know that f_* preserves direct limits ([TT90, pp.

9, Lemma B.6]), i.e., we have the following isomorphism

$$f_*(\mathcal{E}) = f_*(\varinjlim_{i\in I}\mathcal{E}_i) = \varinjlim_{i\in I}(f_*(\mathcal{E}_i)) \tag{4.3}$$

where $f_*(\mathcal{E}_i)$ is split exact for each i. Then, by Lemma 4.5 $f_*(\mathcal{E})$ is pure. $\square$

Proposition 4.7. *The class of all pure injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is preenveloping.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $F_i = \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ and $f : U_i \longrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. By Lemma 4.4, $\mathcal{F}^{++} = \oplus_{i=1}^{n} f_*\widetilde{F_i^{++}}$ is a pure injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules whenever for any i, $F_i^{+} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{U})$ and $\mathfrak{C}^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{U}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{++}$ are pure monomorphisms (see [Ha97, Theorem III. 4.3], Lemma 4.6) and so, $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{++}$ is a pure monomorphism. $\square$

Now, by the same method that used in the proof of [EG98, Proposition 3.4], one can deduce that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\mathbf{G} = (\mathcal{G}^i, \delta^i)$ be a complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. If $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp}$ then*

- *(i) For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{G}^i$ is a pure injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.*
- *(ii) For any pure acyclic complex $\mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{Hom}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{G})$ is an acyclic complex.*

Remark 4.9. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. The p-th Čech $\mathcal{O}_X$-module of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the cover $\mathfrak{U}$ of X is defined by $\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \oplus_{i_0<\ldots<i_p} f_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p}})$ over sequences $i_0 < \ldots < i_p$ of length p $(0 \leq p \leq n)$ whenever $U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p} = U_{i_0} \cap \ldots \cap U_{i_p}$ and $f : U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p} \longrightarrow X$ is the inclusion. By the isomorphism (4.3), $f_* : \mathfrak{Qco}(U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Qco}X$ preserves direct limits. If $\mathbf{F}$ is a pure acyclic complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, then for any $0 \leq p \leq n$, $\mathbf{F}|_{U_{i_p}}$, $\mathbf{F}|_{U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p}}$, $f_*(\mathbf{F}|_{U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p}})$ and $\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{F})$ are direct limit of contractible complexes.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp}$. Then, for any $i > 0$,*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{C}(X)}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{G}) = 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, we can repeat the argument used in the proof of [HS13, Lemma 3.7]. $\square$

Theorem 4.11. *Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a complex of pure injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X) \subseteq {}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a pure acyclic complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. The Čech resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{F} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^n(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.4}$$

of $\mathcal{F}$ is a degree-wise pure exact sequence ([Ha97, Theorem III. 4.3]). Also, for any $0 \leq p \leq n$, $\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{F})$ is a direct limit of contractible complexes (Remark 4.9). Since ${}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$ is closed under direct limits then, for any $0 \leq p \leq n$, $\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{F}) \in {}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$. We can break (4.4) to the following degree-wise pure exact sequences $(0 \leq p \leq n)$,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}^p \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^p \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.10, $\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{F}), \mathbf{P}^p \in^{\perp} \mathbf{C}$. Then, $\mathbf{F} \in^{\perp} \mathcal{C}$. $\square$

5. The pure derived category of $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules

In view of Proposition 4.8, we have $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp} \subseteq \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$. In this section, we show that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp} = \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$.

Proposition 5.1. *$\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pur}}(X)$ are equivalent.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a complex of $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules. By Proposition 4.1, there is a degree-wise pure exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P} \longrightarrow 0$ of complexes, where $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$. Hence, we obtain the triangle

$$\mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_0 \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{X} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathbf{P}_0 \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$. Therefore, $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(X)$ admits a right adjoint ([B90, Lemma 3.1]). So, by [K10, Proposition 4.9.1], we are done. □

Proposition 5.2. *The inclusion $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp} \subseteq \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$ is an equality if and only if every pure acyclic complex of pure injective $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules is contractible.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp} = \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$. Then, for a given pure acyclic complex $\mathbf{I}$ of pure injective $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(X)}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{I}) = 0$. So, $\mathbf{I}$ is contractible. Conversely, suppose that all pure acyclic complexes of pure injective $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules are contractible. For a complex $\mathbf{I}$ of pure injective $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules, consider the conflation $0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow 0$ such that $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$. Then $\mathbf{G}$ is a pure acyclic complex of pure injectives and hence it is contractible. So, the following commutative diagram of triangles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{I} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{I}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{I}}"] & \mathbf{I} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma\mathbf{I} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{\Sigma\mathbf{I}}"] \\
\mathbf{I} \arrow[r, "f"] & \mathbf{X} \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & \Sigma\mathbf{I}
\end{tikzcd}$$

implies that f is an isomorphism. □

Corollary 5.3. *There is an equivalence $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pur}}(X)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.11 and Proposition 5.2, $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)^{\perp} = \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$. Apply Proposition 5.1 and complete the proof. □

Corollary 5.4. *The inclusion $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(X)$ admits a left adjoint.*

Next, we find an important recollement of triangulated categories which is well-known for categorical pure exact structure (see [Sto14, Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.8]).

Proposition 5.5. *There is a recollement*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(R) \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathbf{K}(R) \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[l, bend right=50, "i_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left=50, "i^*"] & \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}R) \arrow[l, bend right=50, "j_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left=50, "j^*"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.2}$$

of triangulated categories.

Proof. By [K10, Proposition 4.13.1] and Proposition 5.1 it is enough to show that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(R) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(R)$ has a left adjoint. Let $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Pproj}R)$ be the class of all complexes of pure projective R-modules. The pair $(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Pproj}R), \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(R))$ in $\mathbf{C}(R)$ is cogenerated by a set. Then, by a similar argument that used in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we can prove that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(R) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(R)$ has a left adjoint. □

Lemma 5.6. *Let X be an affine scheme and U be an open subset of X. Then, for any complex $\mathbf{X}$ of $\mathcal{O}_U$-modules, there is a distinguished triangle*

$$\mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{K} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \tag{5.3}$$

where $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(U)$ and $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}U)$.

Proof. It is known that U is a quasi-compact and semi-separated scheme (the intersection of any two affine subsets is affine). Let $\mathfrak{U}_1 = \{X_{f_i}\}_{i=0}^{i=k}$ be a semi-separating cover of U and $\mathbf{X}$ be a complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Assume that

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^0(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^1(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^k(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.4}$$

is the Čech resolution of $\mathbf{X}$. We can break (5.4) to the following triangles (see [Mu07, Lemma 2.17])

$$\mathbf{K}_{k-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X})) \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathfrak{C}^0(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X})$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{k-2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{k-1} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\mathfrak{C}^1(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{k-2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{K}_i \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{i+1} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-k+i+1}\mathfrak{C}^{k-i-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{K}_i$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Sigma^{-k}\mathfrak{C}^k(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_1 \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-k+1}\mathfrak{C}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-k+1}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X})$$

where $\mathbf{K}_i = \mathrm{Tot}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X})_{\mathrm{rows} \geq k-i})$. By Proposition 5.5, for any $0 \leq i \leq k$, there exists a triangle

$$\mathfrak{C}^i(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_i \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_i \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathfrak{C}^i(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X})$$

where $\mathbf{P}_i \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(U)$ and $\mathbf{I}_i \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pin}U)$.

So, by Lemma 5.7 we have the following triangles

$$\Sigma^{-k+1}\mathfrak{C}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_{k-1} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-k+2}\mathfrak{C}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X})$$

and

$$\Sigma^{-k}\mathfrak{C}^k(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_k \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_k \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-k+1}\mathfrak{C}^k(\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathbf{X})$$

where $\mathbf{P}_k, \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(U)$ and $\mathbf{I}_k, \mathbf{I}_{k-1} \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}U)$. These triangles fits to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{K}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma^{-k+1}\mathfrak{C}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbf{X}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma^{-k}\mathfrak{C}^{k}(\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbf{X}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma\mathbf{K}_1 \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{S}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{P}_k \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma\mathbf{S}_1 \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{J}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{I}_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{I}_k \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma\mathbf{J}_1 \arrow[d] \\
\Sigma\mathbf{K}_1 \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{-k+2}\mathfrak{C}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbf{X}) \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{-k+1}\mathfrak{C}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbf{X}) \arrow[r] & \Sigma^2\mathbf{K}_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then, the first column is a triangle and $\mathbf{S}_1 \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(U)$, $\mathbf{J}_1 \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}U)$. Repeat this processes for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ respectively and deduce the following triangle

$$\mathbf{K}_i \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_i \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_i \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{K}_i$$

where $\mathbf{P}_i \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(U)$ and $\mathbf{I}_i \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}U)$. This implies that there is the following triangle

$$\mathbf{K}_{k-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_{k-1} \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{K}_{k-1}$$

such that $\mathbf{P}_{k-1} \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(U)$ and $\mathbf{I}_{k-1} \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}U)$. But, $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbf{X}))$ is a pure acyclic complex, then by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{K}_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Tot}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbf{X})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma\mathbf{K}_{k-1} \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{P}_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{K} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Tot}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbf{X})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma\mathbf{S}_1 \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{I}_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{I} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma\mathbf{I}_{k-1} \arrow[d] \\
\Sigma\mathbf{K}_{k-1} \arrow[r] & \Sigma\mathbf{X} \arrow[r] & \Sigma\mathrm{Tot}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{U}_1,\mathbf{X})) \arrow[r] & \Sigma^2\mathbf{K}_{k-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

we deduce (5.3).

□

For the reminder of this paper, let us fix the notations of Remark 4.9

Lemma 5.7. *Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *be a complex of* $\mathcal{O}_X$*-modules. For any* $0 \leq p \leq n$*, there exists a triangle*

$$\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_p \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_p \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{X})$$

such that $\mathbf{K}_p \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(X)$ *and* $\mathbf{I}_p \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}X)$.

Proof. Let $p \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$. Proposition 5.5 implies the following triangle

$$\mathbf{X}|_{U_{i_p}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{i_p} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_{i_p} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}|_{U_{i_p}}$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{i_p} \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(R_{i_p})$ and $\mathbf{I}_{i_p} \in \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Pinj}R_{i_p})$. Then, by Lemma 5.6 we have the following triangle

$$\mathbf{X}|_{U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{i_0,\ldots,i_p} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_{i_0,\ldots,i_p} \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{X}|_{U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p}}$$

such that $\mathbf{K}_{i_0,\dots,i_p} \in \mathbf{K}_{\text{pac}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{I}_{i_0,\dots,i_p} \in \mathbf{K}(\text{Pinj}X)$. Now, apply f_* ($f : U_{i_0,\dots,i_p} \longrightarrow X$ is inclusion) and obtain the following triangle

$$f_*\mathbf{X}|_{U_{i_0,\dots,i_p}} \longrightarrow f_*\mathbf{K}_{i_0,\dots,i_p} \longrightarrow f_*\mathbf{I}_{i_0,\dots,i_p} \longrightarrow \Sigma f_*\mathbf{X}|_{U_{i_0,\dots,i_p}}$$

where $f_*\mathbf{K}_{i_0,\dots,i_p} \in \mathbf{K}_{\text{pac}}(X)$ and $f_*\mathbf{I}_{i_0,\dots,i_p} \in \mathbf{K}(\text{Pinj}X)$. Sice $\mathbf{K}_{\text{pac}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{K}(\text{Pinj}X)$ are closed under finite coproducts, then, we have the following triangle

$$\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_p \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_p \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{X})$$

such that $\mathbf{K}_p \in \mathbf{K}_{\text{pac}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{I}_p \in \mathbf{K}(\text{Pinj}X)$.

□

Theorem 5.8. *There is a recollement*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{K}_{\text{pac}}(X) \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathbf{K}(X) \arrow[l, bend right=50, "i_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left=50, "i^*"] \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathbf{K}(\text{Pinj}X) \arrow[l, bend right=50, "j_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left=50, "j^*"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.5}$$

of triangulated categories.

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, $i : \mathbf{K}_{\text{pac}}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}(X)$ has a right adjoint. So, by [K10, Proposition 4.13.1], it is enough to find a left adjoint for i. For this end, it suffices to show that for any object $\mathbf{X}$ of $\mathbf{K}(X)$, there exists the triangle

$$\mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I} \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{X} \tag{5.6}$$

where $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{K}_{\text{pac}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbf{K}(\text{Pinj}X)$. Consider the Čech resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^0(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^1(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^n(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.7}$$

of $\mathbf{X}$. Now, apply Lemma 5.7 and repeat the method used in the proof of Lemma 5.6 to obtain (5.5). □

6. THE PURE DERIVED CATEGORY OF ABSOLUTELY PURE $\mathcal{O}_X$-MODULES

This section is devoted to a description of pure submodules of injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. In affine case, a pure submodule of an injective R-module is called *absolutely pure* (or *fp-injective*). Absolutely pure modules were introduced and studied by several authors. In [Ma67], Maddox defined the notion of absolute purity in the category of R-modules and proved that the subcategory of absolutely pure R-modules is closed under pure submodules and arbitrary direct sums. Also, he characterized absolutely pure modules over Dedekind domains. In [Me70], Megibben showed that the class of all absolutely pure R-modules is equal to ${}^{\perp}R$-mod, whenever R-mod is the category of finitely presented left R-modules. He also proved that, a ring R is noetherian if and only if every absolutely pure R-module is injective [Me70, Theorem 3.]. This approach is also used independently by Stenström ([Sten70]), who also defined the notion of fp-injective (categorical absolutely pure) dimension and gave some results about fp-injective modules over coherent rings.

Here, we recall the definition of an absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.

Definition 6.1. An $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{G}$ is called absolutely pure if it is pure in any $\mathcal{O}_X$-module that contains it.

Let X be a coherent scheme (for any $0 \leq i \leq n$, R_i is a coherent ring) and $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Abs}X)$ be the homotopy category of all absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. It is known that injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-module are absolutely pure and so, $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Inj}X) \subseteq \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Abs}X)$. Recently, the study of the categorical pure derived category of absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules has been interested ([K12], [Sto14]). In this section, we prove that the pure derived category of absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules and $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Inj}X)$ are equivalent and obtain the recollement (6.1).

Proposition 6.2. *Any pure injective absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-module is injective.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a pure injective and absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Then, every short exact sequence starting with $\mathcal{G}$ is split. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}$ is injective. ☐

Let $\mathrm{Abs}X$ be the class of all absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Abs}X)$ be the class of all pure acyclic complex of absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Similarly to the Proposition 4.8, one can deduce that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Abs}X)^{\perp} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Inj}X)$. Then, we have $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Abs}X)^{\perp} \subseteq \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Inj}X)$. In the following result we show that this inclusion is an equality.

Proposition 6.3. *$\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Abs}X)^{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Inj}X)$ are equal.*

Proof. It is suffices to show that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Inj}X) = 0$. Let $\mathbf{I} = (\mathbf{I}^n, \partial^n)$ be a pure acyclic complex of injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Theorem 4.11 implies that $\mathbf{I}$ is contractible. ☐

Corollary 6.4. *There is an equivalence $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Inj}X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pur}}(\mathrm{Abs}X)$ of triangulated categories.*

Let $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cpur}}(\mathrm{Abs}X)$ be the categorical pure derived category of absolutely pure $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. By Corollary 6.4 and [K15, Proposition 4.2], we can deduce the equivalence $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Inj}X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cpur}}(X)$ (see also [Sto14]).

Remark 6.5. It is known that, when X is a locally noetherian scheme, $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ is locally finitely presented. In [K15, Proposition 4.2], Krause used the categorical notion of purity and showed that every pure acyclic complex of injective objects in $\mathbf{C}(X)$ is contractible. As previously described (see Section 3), the tensor purity and the categorical purity do not coincide in non-affine settings. Then, we can not use [K15, Proposition 4.2] to get a similar result for tensor purity. But, the results which are obtained by us can be used to prove [K15, Proposition 4.2].

Theorem 6.6. *There is a recollement*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Abs}X) \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Abs}X) \arrow[l, bend right, "i_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left, "i^*"] \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Inj}X) \arrow[l, bend right, "j_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left, "j^*"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.1}$$

of triangulated categories.

Proof. We repeat the argument used in the proof of Theorem 5.8. ☐

7. The pure derived category of flats

In this section, we assume that X is a quasi-compact and semi-separated scheme. A complex $\mathbf{F}$ in $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}X)$ is called flat if it is pure acyclic. The homotopy category $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}X)$ of all flat complexes of $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules is a triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}X)$.

In [Ne08], Neeman proved that the objects of $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Proj}R)^{\perp}$ are pure acyclic complexes of flat R-modules ([Ne08, Theorem 8.6, Corollary 9.4]). Moreover, he proved the existence of the recollement

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}R) \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}R) \arrow[l, bend right, "i_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left, "i^*"] \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Proj}R) \arrow[l, bend right, "j_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left, "j^*"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.1}$$

which implies the equivalence

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Proj}R) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{in}} \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}R) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} \frac{\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}R)}{\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}R)}$$

of triangulated categories. In [Mu07], the author generalized and studied the quotient $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}X)/\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}X)$ for any noetherian semi-separated scheme X (see also [MS11]). In [AS12], this quotient is denoted by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pur}}(\mathrm{Flat}R)$, and refer to it as ”pure derived category of flat module”.

Remark 7.1. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a complex of cotorsion R-modules. The class ${}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$ is closed under direct limits. Clearly, any contractible complex of flat modules belongs to ${}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$, particularly, all projective complexes are in ${}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$. Assume that $\mathbf{F}$ is a flat complex of R-modules. By [EG98, Theorem 2.4], $\mathbf{F}$ is the direct limit of finitely generated projective complexes and hence, $\mathbf{F} \in {}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}R) \subseteq {}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$. This shows that any pure acyclic complex of cotorsion flat R-modules is contractible.

Remark 7.2. In [HS13], the authors used cotorsion flat R-modules and proved that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}R)^{\perp} = \mathbf{K}(\text{dg-Cof}R)$ where $\mathbf{K}(\text{dg-Cof}R)$ is the homotopy category of dg-cotorsion flat R-modules. By Remark 7.1, the inclusion $\mathbf{K}(\text{dg-Cof}R) \subseteq \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cof}R)$ is an equality. In addition, $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cof}R)$ is the essential image of $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Proj}R)$ in $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}R)$ (see [HS13]).

So, we have the following recollement

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}R) \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}R) \arrow[l, bend right, "i_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left, "i^*"] \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cof}R) \arrow[l, bend right, "j_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left, "j^*"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.2}$$

Consequently, it is natural to work with cotorsion flat modules rather than projectives. This approach is suitable for $\mathfrak{Qco}X$ since it does not have non-zero projective objects. It is one of the important features of working in $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cof}R)$ rather than $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Proj}R)$.

Remark 7.3. If $\mathbf{F}$ is a flat complex of $\mathscr{O}_X$-modules, then for any $0 \leq p \leq n$, $\mathbf{F}|_{U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p}}$ is a flat complex of $\mathscr{O}_{U_{i_0,\ldots,i_p}}$-modules which is a direct limit of contractible complexes.

Then, $0 \leq p \leq n$, $f_*(\mathbf{F}|_{U_{i_0,\dots,i_p}})$, $\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U},\mathcal{C})$ are a direct limit of contractible complexes of flats.

Theorem 7.4. *Let* $\mathbf{C}$ *be a complexes of cotorsion* $\mathcal{O}_X$*-modules. Then* $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}X) \subseteq {}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a flat complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. The Čeck resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{F} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^0(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^1(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^n(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbf{F}$ is a pure acyclic complex of flat complexes. By Remark 7.3, $\mathfrak{C}^p(\mathfrak{U},\mathbf{F}) \in {}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$ for all $0 \leq p \leq n$. So, by Lemma 4.10, $\mathbf{F} \in {}^{\perp}\mathbf{C}$. ☐

Proposition 7.5. *There is an equivalence* $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cof}X)$ *of triangulated categories.*

Proof. By [HS13, Section 3], we can deduce that $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}X), \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}X)^{\perp})$ is a complete cotorsion theory in $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}X)$. This implies an equivalence $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}X)^{\perp} \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pur}}(\mathrm{Flat}X)$ of triangulated categories. Then, by Theorem 7.4, we have $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}X)^{\perp} = \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cof}X)$. ☐

Corollary 7.6. *The inclusion* $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cot}X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(X)$ *has a left adjoint.*

Theorem 7.7. *There is a recollement*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{pac}}(\mathrm{Flat}X) \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Flat}X) \arrow[l, bend right=40, "i_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left=40, "i^*"] \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Cof}X) \arrow[l, bend right=40, "j_*"'] \arrow[l, bend left=40, "j^*"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.3}$$

of triangulated categories.

Proof. We repeat the argument used in the proof of Theorem 5.8. ☐

References

[AR94] J. Adamek, J. Rosicky, *Locally presentable and accessible categories,* LMS Lecture Note Series, **189**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1994).

[AS12] J. Asadollahi, Sh. Salarian, *Cohomology theories based on flats,* J. Algebra, **353 (1)**, (2012), 93-120.

[Bu10] T. Bühler, *Exact categories,* Expo. Math. **28 (1)**, (2010), 1-69.

[B90] A. I. Bondal, *Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves,* Math. USSR-Izv. **34** (1) (1990), 23-42.

[BBD82] A. A. Bellinson, J. Bernstein, P. Dellígne, *Analyse et topology sur les espaces singuliers,* Astérisque **100** (1982).

[CH02] J. D. Christensen, M. Hovey, *Quillen model structures for relative homological algebra,* Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **133 (2)**, (2002), 261-293.

[Cr94] W. Crawley-Boevey, *Locally finitely presented additive categories,* Comm. Algebra **22**, (1994), 1641-1674.

[EE05] E. Enochs, S. Estrada, *Relative homological algebra in the category of quasi-coherent sheaves,* Adv. Math. **194**, (2005), 284-295.

[EEO15] E. Enochs, S. Estrada, S. Odabaşi, *Pure injective and absolutely pure sheaves,* Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. DOI: 10.1017/S0013091515000462, (2015).

[EG98] E. Enochs, J. R. Garcia Rozas, *Flat covers of complexes,* J. Algebra, **210**, (1998), 86-102.

[EGO14] S. Estrada, J. Gillespie, S. Odabaşi, *Pure exact structures and the pure derived category of a scheme*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.2846, (2014).

[EJ00] E. Enochs, O. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra I,* de Gruyter Exp. Math, **30**, (2000).

[EJ11] E. Enochs, O. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra II,* de Gruyter Exp. Math, **54**, (2011).

[Em16] I. Emmanouil, *On pure acyclic complexes*, J. Algebra, **465**, (2016), 190-213.

[ES15] S. Estrada, M. Saorin, *Locally finitely presented categories with no flat objects,* Forum Math. **27 (1)**, (2015), 269-301.

[Fo76] T. Fox, *Purity in locally-presentable monoidal categories,* J. Pur. App. Algebra, **8 (3)**, (1976), 261-265.

[Gi15] J. Gillespie, *The derived category with respect to a generator,* Ann. di Mat. Pur. ed App. **195 (2)**, (2016), 371-402.

[Gr77] A. Grothendieck, *Groups de classes des categories abeliennes et triangulees. Complexes parfaits,* Lecture Notes in Math. **589**, (1977), 351-371.

[Ha97] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry,* GTM, **52**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1997).

[Ha66] R. Hartshorne, *Residue and duality,* Lecture Notes in Math. **20**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1966).

[Her03] I. Herzog, *Pure-injective envelopes,* J. Alg. App. **2 (4)**, (2003), 397-402.

[HS13] E. Hosseini, Sh. Salarian, *A cotorsion theory in the homotopy category of flat quasi-coherent sheaves,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141**, (2013), 753-762.

[K05] H. Krause, *The stable derived category of a Noetherian scheme*, Compos. Math. **141**, (2005), 1128-1162.

[K12] H. Krause, *Approximations and adjoints in homotopy categories,* Math. Ann. **353**, (2012), 765-781.

[K10] H. Krause, *Localization theory for triangulated categories*, Triangulated categories, LMS. Lecture Note Series: **375**, (2010), 161-236.

[K15] H. Krause, *Deriving Auslander's formula,* Doc. Math. **20**, (2015), 669-688.

[Ma67] B. H. Maddox, *Absolutely pure modules,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18**, (1967), 155-158 .

[Me70] C. Megibben, *Absolutely pure modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26**, (1970), 561-566.

[MS11] D. Murfet, Sh. Salarian, *Totally acyclic complexes over noetherian schemes,* Adv. Math. **226**, (2011), 1096-1133.

[Mu07] D. Murfet, *The mock homotopy category of projectives and Grothendieck duality,* Ph.D thesis, Canberra (2007).

[Ne90] A. Neeman, *The derived category of an exact category,* J. Algebra, **135**, (1990), 388-394.

[Ne01] A. Neeman, *Triangulated categories*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **148**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (2001).

[Ne08] A. Neeman, *The homotopy category of flat modules and Grothendieck duality,* Invent. Math. **174**, (2008), 255-308.

[Ne10] A. Neeman, *Some adjoints in homotopy categories,* Annals Math. **171 (3)**, (2010), 2143-2155.

[Ra02] A. Ranicki, *Noncommutative localization in topology,* LMS. Lecture Note Series 330, 81-102. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2006).

[Sten70] B. Stenström, *Coherent rings and FP-injective modules,* J. London Math. Soc. **2**, (1970), 323-329.

[Sten68] B. Stenström, *Purity in Functor Categories*, J. Algebra, **8**, (1968), 352-361.

[Sto13] J. Stovicek, *Exact model categories, approximation theory and cohomology of quasi-coherent sheaves,* Advances in Representation Theory of Algebras, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, (2013), 297-367.

[Sto14] J. Stovicek, *On purity and applications to coderived and singularity categories,* http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.1615.

[TT90] R. W. Thomason, T. Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories*, The Grothendieck Festschrift III, Progr. Math. **88**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, (1990), 247-435.

[Ver96] J. L. Verdier, *Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes*, Astérisque, **239**, (1996).

[We03] Ch. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra,* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **38**, (2003).

[ZH16] Y. Zheng , Zh. Huang, *On pure derived categories,* J. Algebra, **454**, (2016), 252-272.

Department of Mathematics, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.
E-mail address: `e.hosseini@scu.ac.ir`